UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,047	04/21/2005	Takayuki Mizuo	Q76152	4093
23373 7590 03/27/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			WEEKS, GLORIA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
				-
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,047	MIZUO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gloria R. Weeks	3721			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	nuan/ 2007				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
		election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/05/06</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/532,047 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hiramoto et al. (USPN 6,745,540).

In reference to claims 1-5 Hiramoto et al. discloses a bag-making method comprising: a preliminary heating step (142) using radiation including softening of a mouth member (S), having a length of at least .5 mm, at a part (f) to be melt-bonded to a bag unit (W) while element (29) prevents an end part to be positioned within the bag unit (W) from being softened (column 14 lines 25-32); and a melt-bonding step (147) of inserting the preliminarily heated mouth member (S) into the opening of the bag unit (W) and pressing them by a sealing mold (column 15 lines 37-44).

Claim 7 states the product made by the above disclosed method is a medical bag. This limitation is not found to include a step defining the claimed method or structure specifically defining a medical bag. Moreover, the claimed method can result in a bag to be used in any desired manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,047 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiramoto et al. (USPN 6,745,540) in view of Fang et al. (USPN 4,912,907).

With respect to claim 6, Hiramoto does not disclose evacuating air from the bag unit during the melt-bonding step. Fang et al. discloses a bag-making method wherein air is evacuated from a bag unit during a heating step. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Hiramoto et al. to include the air evacuation step during heat sealing, since column 2 lines 1-14 of Fang et al. state that such a modification conforms the bag unit to a desired shape relative to contents (if none, will flatten bag) within bag and reduces degrading of contents within bag.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed January 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

With respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of Applicant's invention as anticipated by Hiramoto et al., Applicant has argued that Hiramoto et al. fails to disclose or suggest whether the end part of the spout is pre-heated. Examiner disagrees, since Figure 12B of Hiramoto et al. illustrates the pre-heating of the spout, such that only a specific portion (area to be sealed to the bag) of the spout is pre-heated. Furthermore, column 14 lines 25-28 state that the sealing portion f of the spout is pre-heated.

Applicant has also argued that Hiramoto et al. discloses temporary sealing of a spout in a bag unit, which does not meet Applicant's intended limitations of a melt-bonding step. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., integrating the mouth member and the bag unit to such a degree that the integrated bag is ready for use) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. The claimed limitations of the melt-bonding step merely require a mouth member that has been subjected to preliminary heat be inserted into the opening of a bag unit, and subsequently pressed by a sealing mold to melt-bond the mouth member (spout) to the opening of the bag unit. Whether the seal of the mouth member to the bag unit is sufficient for use or not, it is found that some "melting" occurs to secure the mouth member to the bag. Nonetheless, Hiramoto et al. discloses primary sealing (6) of the pre-heated mouth member to the bag unit, which seals the mouth member to the bag to provide a bag unit that has been completely integrated to the mouth member (column 17 lines 39-43).

¹ See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria R. Weeks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4473. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,047

Art Unit: 3721

March 19, 2007

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199

Gloria R. Weeks

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 372

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700